

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/825,883	QIU, JEAN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	William H. Beisner	1797	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) William H. Beisner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

 (2) William G. Guerin (Reg. No. 41,047).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 October 2007
**Time:** 2:00pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*All prior art rejections of record*

Claims discussed:

*All pending claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Lorinez (US 5,812,312) in view of Weiner (DE 19952139) or Burke et al. (US 6,597,500)*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Mr. Guerin discussed proposed claim language that would provide claim limitations in independent claims 14, 25 and 30 that were commensurate in scope with Applicant's comments filed 8/20/2007. It was agreed to make the proposed changes by Examiner's amendment. It was also agreed to cancel withdrawn claims 1-9 and claims 28, 29 and 34 (See Paper No. 20071029 for details of the Examiner's amendment).